Flying a Spacecraft through a Lunar Magnetic Anomaly Measurement Requirements as Defined by Fully Kinetic Modelling Jan Deca^(1,2,3), Andrey Divin^(4,5), Charles Lue⁽⁶⁾, Tara Ahmadi⁽⁴⁾, Bertrand Lembège⁽³⁾, and Mihály Horányi^(1,2).



Profiles along a polar (left panels) and equatorial orbit (right panels) show the rapidly varying electromagnetic fields/density (above) and particle energy distributions (below) a spacecraft would encounter along its trajectory at 15 km above the lunar surface. Note that high-cadence observations are required to capture the fine-scale structures of the interaction [Deca & Divin 2016].



(1) Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics (LASP), University of Colorado Boulder, USA. (2) Institute for Modeling Plasma, Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atmospheres and Cosmic Dust, NASA/SSERVI, USA. (3) Laboratory for Atm Guyancourt, France. (4) St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia. (5) Swedish Institute of Space Physics and Astronomy, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA. This work was supported in part by NASA's Solar System Exploration Research Virtual Institute for Modeling Plasmas, Atmosphere, and Cosmic Dust (IMPACT), the NASA High-End Computing (HEC) Program through the NASA Advanced Supercomputing (NAS) Division at Ames Research Center. This work also utilised the Janus supercomputer, which is supported by the National Science Foundation (award number CNS-0821794) and the University of Colorado Boulder. This work was granted access to the HPC resources of TGCC under the allocation 2017-A0030400295 made by GENCI. Part of this work was inspired by discussions within International Space Science Institute, Bern, Switzerland. The work by C.L. was supported by NASA grant NNX15AP89G.

Solar wind protons penetrate deeper into magnetic anomaly regions as compared to electrons due to their difference in inertia. This leads to substantial electropartially deflecting/ reflecting the impinging solar wind plasma. A mini-magnetosphere





Solar wind normal (left) and at 45° incidence (right) to surface.

The solar wind speed and direction are the determining factors that shape the surface weathering pattern. Above two examples. Integrating over all incident solar wind angles and magnitudes is required to evaluate possible correlations with lunar swirls [Deca et al., under review]. The interplanetary magnetic field direction might only become important for lunar anomalies that have comparable magnetic field magnitudes as the impinging solar wind.

To first order, the He²⁺ distributions agree with the solar wind proton profiles (below). Due to their higher mass, however, only few particles are re/deflected by chargeseparation electric field above the magnetic topology.