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Examples of Spacecraft Observations of Electrostatic Dust Transport

- Lunar horizon glow (Colwell et al., 2007)
- Dust pond on asteroid Eros [Robinson et al., Nature, 2001]
- Dust particles collected by COSIMA from Comet 67P at its relative low activity level [Schulz et al., Nature, 2015]
- The Spokes in Saturn’s B ring [Mitchell et al., Science, 2006]
- Dust pond on asteroid Eros [Robinson et al., Nature, 2001]
Significance of Electrostatic Dust Transport

Potential to explain:
- Surface morphology
- Surface porosity (thus, thermal inertia)
- Surface materials redistribution
- Space weathering

Uses in human and robotic exploration

Courtesy: Quora
Dust particles on the regolith of airless bodies are charged and may be transported and lofted due to electrostatic forces.
Dust particles are lofted off the surface under UV, electron beam, or plasma & electron beam beam conditions, in which photo- or secondary electrons are emitted.
A New “Patched Charge Model”

According to Gauss’s law

\[ Q_b \propto \frac{(\mathbb{M}_b - \mathbb{M}_p)}{\mathbb{M}_{De}} \]
\[ Q_r \propto \frac{(\mathbb{M}_r - \mathbb{M}_b)}{r} \]
\[ Q_r \gg Q_b \text{ due to } r \ll \mathbb{M}_{De} \]

\[ Q \propto Q_r \propto 0.5C(\mathbb{M}T_{ee}/e), \]
where \( T_{ee} \) is the emitted electron temperature;
\[ C = 4\pi \varepsilon_0 r; \]
\[ \mathbb{M} > 1 \text{ (empirical constant } 4 \sim 10). \]

- **Photo- or secondary electrons** are absorbed by **red** surface patches in **micro-cavities** that are shielded from incoming photons or electrons/ions.
- These **red** patches have a very negative potential and their closeness ejects them.

[Wang et al., GRL, 2016.]
Measurements of Surface Patch Potentials

- $J_i$: Ions
- $J_e$: Plasma electrons
- $J_{\text{photon}}$: Photons
- $J_{\text{se}}$: SEs
- $J_{\text{phe}}$: Photoelectrons

**Parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>UV</th>
<th>Electron Beam</th>
<th>Plasma &amp; Electron Beam</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E$ (V/m)</td>
<td>10^2</td>
<td>8 $\times$ 10^3</td>
<td>10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$ (µm)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_i - E_b$ (V)</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_b$ (C)</td>
<td>5.5 $\times$ 10^{-19}</td>
<td>2.3 $\times$ 10^{-16}</td>
<td>2.8 $\times$ 10^{-17}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_r$ (C)</td>
<td>1.4 $\times$ 10^{-15}</td>
<td>2.8 $\times$ 10^{-14}</td>
<td>1.5 $\times$ 10^{-14}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_e$ (N)</td>
<td>1.4 $\times$ 10^{-13}</td>
<td>2.2 $\times$ 10^{-10}</td>
<td>1.5 $\times$ 10^{-11}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_e'$ (N)</td>
<td>4.3 $\times$ 10^{-11}</td>
<td>3.5 $\times$ 10^{-9}</td>
<td>1.0 $\times$ 10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_g$ (N)</td>
<td>4 $\times$ 10^{-11}</td>
<td>4.9 $\times$ 10^{-10}</td>
<td>4.9 $\times$ 10^{-10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{co}$ (N)</td>
<td>4.4 $\times$ 10^{-9}</td>
<td>9.9 $\times$ 10^{-9}</td>
<td>9.9 $\times$ 10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{es} / F_g$</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$V_{\text{top}}$ (blue patch) is similar to $V_{\text{plate}}$. $V_{\text{bottom}}$ (red patch) is negative relative to $V_{\text{plate}}$. 
Charge Measurements (Polarity)

• Only **negatively charged** particles are accelerated under UV, electron beam, and plasma & electron beam conditions.

• This **result is contrary** to the generally expected positive charge due to photoemission but in agreement with our “patched charge model”.

Negative voltage (-3 kV) grid    Positive voltage (+0.5 kV) grid
Direct Charge Measurements

**Procedure**

1. Expose dust particles to UV, electron beam, or plasma & electron beam.

2. Turn off the charging source.

3. Move the Faraday cup above the surface to accelerate charged particles to the cup where their image charges are measured.

* The grid is used as a gate to control when charged dust will be accelerated, and also used for charge polarity measurements.

Both irregular-shaped (Mars simulants) and microspheres (silica) are used in the measurements.
Charge Measurements (Magnitude)

- Broad charge distributions due to broad size distributions of lofted dust [Wang et al., 2016].
- More irregular-shaped particles than microspheres are registered in the Faraday cup.
Charge Measurements (Magnitude)

- Broad charge distributions due to broad size distributions of lofted dust [Wang et al., 2016].
- More irregular-shaped particles than microspheres are registered in the Faraday cup.
Charges estimated from the “patched charge model” are in a same order of magnitude with the measurements.
Lofted dust particles with negative charges jump higher than the predicated heights for ballistic trajectories.

The sheath electric field changes the dust dynamics.

Size: < 44 μm in diameter
On Earth: Initial vertical speed: 0.6 m/s, Maximum height: 1.9 cm
On Comet 67P: Maximum height: 1,121 m

[Wang et al., GRL, 2016.]
Surface Mobilization of Dust Particles

Mars simulant (38-45 µm) under plasma & electron beam
(1 hour long time lapse)
To understand the effect of surface morphology, porosity and dust size due to electrostatic dust transport on the spectra measurements.
Summary and Conclusions

• Direct charge measurements confirmed the predications of our new “patched charge model”.

• Dust particles in part of a dusty surface that emits photo- or secondary electrons can attain net large negative charges, contrary to the generally expected positive charge polarity due to photoemission.

• Initial charging and launch conditions provided from our measurements are critical for dust dynamics studies and have not been well defined in the past.
Questions?
Dust Transport in Electron Beam (120 eV)

Shooting stars
Size: < 44 μm in diameter; Max. height: 1.5 cm; Vertical launch speed: 0.5 m/s
Backup slides
Charging Mechanisms
(Comparative experiments)

- Sheath electric field force is not a predominant force for dust transport. Secondary electrons (SEs) play a role in dust charging and transport.
- SE emission (SEE) from the dusty surface is smaller than from the solid surface, attributed to the absorption of emitted SEs by neighboring particles.
Examination of Current Charge Models

- Shared charge model (uniform surface charge density)

\[ F_e = QE \]
\[ F_c = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} (Q/2r)^2 \]
\[ Q = 4\pi\varepsilon_0 r^2 E \]

**Case I**
(Wang et al., 2010)
- \( E = 100 \text{ V/cm} \)
- \( r = 12.5 \mu\text{m} \)
- \( F_e = 1.7e^{-12} \text{ N} \)
- \( F_c = 4.3e^{-13} \text{ N} \)
- \( F_g = 1.5e^{-10} \text{ N} \)
- \( F_e + F_c \approx 10^{-2} \cdot F_g \)

**Case II**
(Lunar case)
- \( E = 10 \text{ V/m} \)
- \( r = 5 \mu\text{m} \)
- \( F_e = 2.8e^{-19} \text{ N} \)
- \( F_c = 6.9e^{-20} \text{ N} \)
- \( F_g = 2.5e^{-12} \text{ N} \)
- \( F_e + F_c \approx 10^{-7} \cdot F_g \)

*Cohesion force is not yet considered*

- Charge fluctuation theory (due to discrete electron and ion fluxes to the surface)

\[ \frac{\delta Q_{\text{rms}}}{e} = \sqrt{\frac{CT_e}{e}} \]

(Sheridan and Hayes, 2011)

**Case I**
- \( \frac{dQ_{\text{rms}}}{Q} = 807 / 1085 = 0.74 \)
- \( Q_{\text{max}} \approx 2Q, \text{ small enhancement.} \)

Charge induced by plasma is too small for dust particles to be lifted off.
More Plasma and Electron Beam Dust Experiments

Dust particles (Mars simulants, $38 < d < 48 \mu m$) in a crater 1.9 cm in diameter and 0.2 cm deep.

Plasma and electron beam (120 eV)
Surface Mobilization of Dust Particles

Silica dust (38-45 µm) under electron beam

T = 0s
T = 20s
T = 40s

Smoothed surfaces

Ponded dust deposits in Khepry on Comet 67P (Thomas et al., 2015)
Charging Mechanisms (Micro-cavities)

Potential of silica dust vs. solid surfaces

Potential on dust surface is more negative than that on solid surface due to the absorption of emitted SEs by the micro-cavities.
Trajectories of Dust Particles

Plasma & Electron-Beam:

\[ H_{\text{meas}} = 2.11 - 2.73 \text{ mm} \ (5.85 \ \mu m/\text{pixel}) \]

\[ H = \frac{H_{\text{meas}}}{\cos \theta} = 2.13 - 2.75 \text{ mm} \]

where, \( \theta \approx 7.24^\circ \) (View angle)

\[ v_{z,0} = (2gH)^{1/2} = 20.3 - 23.2 \text{ cm/s} \]

Our measurements on the Earth

Particle diameter < 44 \( \mu \text{m} \)

\( H \geq 0.025 \text{ m} \)

\[ v_{z,0} = (2gH)^{1/2} \text{ and } g_{\text{moon}} = \frac{1}{6} g_{\text{earth}} \]

\( H_{\text{moon}} \geq 0.15 \text{ m} \)

Lunar horizon glow (Rennilson and Criswell, 1974; Colwell et al., 2007)
Previous Laboratory Dust Transport Experiments
(Two Examples)

Electron beam (75 eV) and Plasma

Dust particles $< 25 \mu m$

High terrain

0 min

40 min

120 min

150 min

Wang et al., 2010

What are the charging mechanisms?
How big are the electrostatic forces?

Flanagan and Goree, 2006
Comments & Implications

• Micron-sized insulating dust particles are recorded to jump to several centimeters high with an initial speed ~ 0.5 m/s under ultraviolet (UV) illumination or exposure to plasmas in laboratory.

• The interactions of the insulating dusty surface with UV radiation and/or plasmas are a **volume effect**, contrary to current charge models that only consider the interacting surface as a plane boundary.

• The emission and re-absorption of **photo- and/or secondary electrons** at the walls of **micro-cavities** formed between neighboring dust particles below the surface are responsible for generating unexpectedly large charges.

• **Repulsive (Coulomb) force** between dust particles, rather than sheath electric field force, is a dominant force to mobilize and lift dust off the surface.

• On the **dayside** surface, photoelectrons play the role. Due to much shorter UV wavelengths (i.e., higher photon energy) in space than in our laboratory, **high-energy photoelectrons** (> 10 eV) are expected, leading to even more negative charge on dust particles that form micro-cavities.

• On the **nightside** surface, secondary electrons play the role. Secondary electron emission from the nightside lunar surface [Halekas et al., 2009] was observed ~ 3 times smaller than that measured from a single lunar dust particle in laboratory [Horányi et al., 1998], indicating the absorption by micro-cavities.