

UiO **Content of Physics** University of Oslo

Numerical simulations of dusty surface/agglomerates charged by plasma and photoemission currents



DAP, 12 January 2017



University of Oslo

Plasma – object interaction







Sheath formation:

- change of velocity distributions
- quasineutrality broken

Object in plasma – is charged (floating potential)

(man-made or natural)







Complex plasma





Charge – a fundamental parameter in complex plasmas

 $\Phi(r) = \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r} \exp\left(-\frac{r}{\lambda_D}\right) \qquad Q = C\Phi_d, \text{ where } C = 4\pi\epsilon_0 a(1 + a/\lambda_D)$

(Selwyn, et. al. 1989)

University of Oslo

Wakefields and interactions between dust grains



FIG. 6. Interparticle forces in the dust molecule.

A. Melzer, et. al., Phys. Rev. Lett., 83, 3194 (1999)



O. Ishihara, J. Phys. D, 40, R121 (2007)

University of Oslo

Charging in complex geometries



Dust might lift off due to strong electric fields...





Wang et. al., GRL 43, 6104, 2016

DiP3D code



- 3D electrostatic code in Cartesian coordinates;
- Collisionless/collisional plasma;
- Flowing ions and/or electrons, ion beams can be included;
- Fixed potentials on external boundaries (Dirichlet boundary condition), plasma particles can leave the simulation box and are injected at the boundaries according to Maxwellian distributions / periodic boundaries possible;
- Objects placed inside the simulation box, perfectly conducting or perfectly insulating, can be self-consistently charged, (internal boundary conditions), MD force calculations closest to the surface.
- Photons (varied flux and angle of incidence) and photoelectric effect can be included;
- External static B field, external E field in periodic system
- May run parallel (MPI) or on a single processor.

University of Oslo

Mach-like cone and focusing

0 ()) ())









W.J. Miloch, et. al., Phys. Plasmas 17, 103703 (2010)

Vertical pairing...





 $v_d = 0.7C_s$

 $v_d = 1^0 . 1 C_s$

Stationary plasma: a grain near a biased plate



University of Oslo

Cavity – an example of the surface



Photoelectron energy: 1.0 eV Stationary plasma: $T_e/T_i = 3, T_e = 0.25 \text{ eV}, n = 9.7 \cdot 10^{11} \text{m}^{-3}$

University of Oslo

Cavity – an example of the surface



Photons: 10^19 m⁻² s⁻¹ Photoelectron energy: 0.25 eV Stationary plasma: $T_e/T_i = 3, T_e = 0.25 \text{ eV}, n = 9.7 \cdot 10^{11} \text{m}^{-3}$

University of Oslo

Cavity – an example of the surface



Photons: 10^19 m⁻² s⁻¹ Photoelectron energy: 0.5 eV Stationary plasma: $T_e/T_i = 3, T_e = 0.25 \text{ eV}, n = 9.7 \cdot 10^{11} \text{m}^{-3}$

University of Oslo

Cavity – an example of the surface



Photons: 10^19 m⁻² s⁻¹ Photoelectron energy: 1.0 eV Stationary plasma: $T_e/T_i = 3, T_e = 0.25 \text{ eV}, n = 9.7 \cdot 10^{11} \text{m}^{-3}$

University of Oslo

Cavity – an example of the surface



Photons: 10^20 m⁻² s⁻¹ Photoelectron energy: 0.25 eV Stationary plasma: $T_e/T_i = 3, T_e = 0.25 \text{ eV}, n = 9.7 \cdot 10^{11} \text{m}^{-3}$

University of Oslo

Cavity – an example of the surface



Photons: 10^20 m⁻² s⁻¹ Photoelectron energy: 0.5 eV Stationary plasma: $T_e/T_i = 3, T_e = 0.25 \text{ eV}, n = 9.7 \cdot 10^{11} \text{m}^{-3}$

University of Oslo

Cavity – an example of the surface



Photons: 10^20 m⁻² s⁻¹ Photoelectron energy: 1.0 eV Stationary plasma: $T_e/T_i = 3, T_e = 0.25 \text{ eV}, n = 9.7 \cdot 10^{11} \text{m}^{-3}$

University of Oslo

Cavity – an example of the surface



Photons: 10^19 m⁻² s⁻¹ Photoelectron energy: 0.25 eV Stationary plasma: $T_e/T_i = 3, T_e = 0.25 \text{ eV}, n = 9.7 \cdot 10^{11} \text{m}^{-3}$

University of Oslo

Cavity – an example of the surface



Photons: 10^19 m⁻² s⁻¹ Photoelectron energy: 0.25 eV Stationary plasma: $T_e/T_i = 3, T_e = 0.25 \text{ eV}, n = 9.7 \cdot 10^{11} \text{m}^{-3}$

University of Oslo

Cavity – an example of the surface



Photoelectron energy: 0.5 eV Stationary plasma: $T_e/T_i = 3, T_e = 0.25 \text{ eV}, n = 9.7 \cdot 10^{11} \text{m}^{-3}$

University of Oslo

Cavity – an example of the surface



Photoelectron energy: 0.5 eV Stationary plasma: $T_e/T_i = 3, T_e = 0.25 \text{ eV}, n = 9.7 \cdot 10^{11} \text{m}^{-3}$

University of Oslo

Cavity – an example of the surface



Stationary plasma: $T_e/T_i = 3, T_e = 0.25 \text{ eV}, n = 9.7 \cdot 10^{11} \text{m}^{-3}$

University of Oslo

Cavity – an example of the surface



Photoelectron energy: 1.0 eV Stationary plasma: $T_e/T_i = 3, T_e = 0.25 \text{ eV}, n = 9.7 \cdot 10^{11} \text{m}^{-3}$

University of Oslo

Cavity – an example of the surface



Photons: 10^19 m⁻² s⁻¹ Photoelectron energy: 1.0 eV Stationary plasma: $T_e/T_i = 3, T_e = 0.25 \text{ eV}, n = 9.7 \cdot 10^{11} \text{m}^{-3}$

Short summary

- With PIC codes we can simulate dust-plasma interactions by following plasma particle orbits in self-consistent fields.
- Two processes in wake formation:
 - 1) absorption,
 - 2) bending plasma trajectories / electrostatic lensing.
- Single wake can form for small relative distances between dust grains.
- Wakefield effects can align dust grains in the direction of the flow.
- Photoemission in complex geometries might be sufficient to create very strong electric fields and influence dynamics of grains over surfaces in space.

Further steps:

- Use realistic parameters to compare with experiments relevant for space conditions.
- Calculate electrostatic forces acting on the grains.
- Simulate various geometries.

University of Oslo

4DSpace Strategic Research Initiative



Interdisciplinary science centre where 4D (3D+time) multi-scale studies of ionospheric and space plasma structures, waves, and turbulence are carried out with **integrated** experimental, theoretical and numerical modelling approach.

www.mn.uio.no/4dspace